

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3

4 RICHEY GARRISON GUIDI,)

5 Plaintiff,)

6 v.)

7 QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP. et al.,)

8 Defendants.)
9

Case No. 2:17-cv-02946-APG-CWH

ORDER

10 Presently before the Court is pro se Plaintiff Richey Garrison Guidi's notice to the Court of
11 Defendants' non-compliance (ECF No. 12), filed on December 20, 2017. Defendant filed a response
12 (ECF No. 15) on December 28, 2017. This document is styled as a "notice" but appears to be a
13 motion to strike. Given Plaintiff's pro se status, the Court will construe the document as a motion to
14 strike.

15 Plaintiff's notice requests that the Court strike Defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 6),
16 arguing that the motion failed to comply with Local Rule IA 10-1, which requires that all documents
17 filed with the Court must be legible and must not be double-sided. Plaintiff argues that Defendant's
18 motion to dismiss was mailed to him were "doubled sided and disheveled making it impossible for
19 Plaintiff to reference." However, Local Rule IA 10-1 applies to documents filed with the Court, not
20 documents served on parties. Further, as Plaintiff was able to file a response (ECF No. 9) to
21 Defendant's motion to dismiss, the Court finds no prejudice.

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to strike is DENIED.

23 DATED: January 2, 2018
24

25 
26 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28